

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/700,656 02/14/2001		02/14/2001	Harald Vater	JEK/VATER	7577	
7	7590 10/17/2005		EXAMINER			
Bacon & Tho	mas		DAVIS, ZA	DAVIS, ZACHARY A		
Fourth Floor						
625 Slaters Lar	ne		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Alexandria, V.	A 2231	4-1176	2137			

DATE MAILED: 10/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	Application No.		pplicant(s)			
Office Action Summary			56	VATER ET AL.				
				Art Unit				
		Zachary A		2137				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on th	cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	dress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ded patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THE FR 1.136(a). In no evon. period will apply and w statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATIO ent, however, may a reply be ti ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this of ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on	22 July 2005						
• —	·							
3)	,			osecution as to th	e merits is			
٧/ك	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims	•						
· _	Claim(s) 1-43 is/are pending in the applic	ation						
4)[nsideration					
5)[4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
′=	,—							
6) <u></u>	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)∐	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	d/an alaatian ma	isamaant					
8)区	Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> are subject to restriction an	id/or election red	juirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers							
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	he Examiner. N	ote the attached Office	e Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some color None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	•	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal i		O-152)			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S r No(s)/Mail Date	00/00)	6) Other:	atent Application (FT)	U-102j			

Art Unit: 2137

DETAILED ACTION

A Request for Continued Examination with amendment was received on 22 July
 Claims 1 and 22 have been amended. No claims have been added or canceled.
 Claims 1-43 are currently pending in the present application.

Response to Arguments

2. At least partially in light of Applicant's arguments, a requirement for restriction under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372 has been deemed necessary. Specifically, in reference to independent Claims 1 and 22, *inter alia*, Applicant has argued that the cited references do not disclose the claimed limitations regarding modification of signals radiated by a processor during execution of a program by modifying the program steps. Further, in reference to independent Claim 26, *inter alia*, Applicant has argued that the cited references do not disclose the use of an XOR operation to mask keys, and again argues that there is no modification of a decryption algorithm to compensate for the masked keys. These arguments, combined with the analysis below, suggest that further prosecution of these differing groups of claims would be divergent, placing an undue burden on the Examiner. Therefore restriction is proper and is required as set forth below.

Application/Control Number: 09/700,656

Art Unit: 2137

Election/Restrictions

3. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claims 1-25 and 41, drawn to a data carrier with a semiconductor chip and a method for executing security-relevant operations in such a data carrier (classified in class 713, subclass 194).

Group II, claims 26-33 and 42, drawn to a method for protecting secret data (classified in class 380, subclass 252).

Group III, claims 34-40 and 43, drawn to a method for executing a plurality of operations (classified in class 380, subclass 28).

- 4. The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the method of Group II does not include the specific limitations of the methods and apparatus of Group I. Specifically, Group II does not include the steps of executing only selected operating program commands of such a kind or in such a way that data processed by the commands cannot be inferred from signals that have been detected outside the semiconductor chip. Therefore, the two groups of claims are not directed to a product and a process of use of said product. See 37 CFR 1.475.
- 5. The inventions listed as Groups I and III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the method of Group III does not include the specific limitations of the methods and apparatus of Group I. Specifically, Group III does not include the steps of executing only selected operating program commands of such a kind or in such a way that data processed by the commands cannot be inferred from signals that have been detected outside the

Application/Control Number: 09/700,656

Art Unit: 2137

semiconductor chip. Therefore, the two groups of claims are not directed to a product and a process of use of said product. See 37 CFR 1.475.

- 6. The inventions listed as Groups II and III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the method of Group II does not include the specific limitations of the method of Group III, and vice versa. Specifically, Group II does not include the steps required by Group III, such as varying the order of execution of a subset of operations, and Group III does not include the steps required by Group II, such as falsifying input data and combining output data with an auxiliary function value to compensate for the falsification of the input data. Therefore, the two groups of claims lack the same special technical feature. See 37 CFR 1.475.
- 7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zachary A. Davis whose telephone number is (571) 272-

Application/Control Number: 09/700,656

Art Unit: 2137

3870. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays 8:30-6:00, alternate

Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Emmanuel Moise can be reached on (571) 272-3865. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ZAD zad

EMMANUEL L. MOISE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 5